IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Gilbert Mainez,

Petitioner,

V.

A.D.W. Eccles, et al.,

Respondents.

No. CV-22-08036-PCT-GMS (ESW)

ORDER

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation ("R&R") of United States Magistrate Judge Eileen S. Willett (Doc. 12) regarding petitioner's Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (Doc. 5). The R&R recommends that the Amended Petition be dismissed with prejudice. The Magistrate Judge advised the parties that they had fourteen days to file objections to the R&R and that failure to file timely objections could be considered a waiver of the right to obtain review of the R&R. *Id.* at 7 (citing Fed. R. Civ. P. 72, 6; *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003)).

The parties did not file objections, which relieves the Court of its obligation to review the R & R. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003); *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985) ("[Section 636(b)(1)] does not . . . require any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection."). The absence of a timely objection also means that error may not be assigned on appeal to any defect in the rulings of the Magistrate Judge on any non-

1	dispositive matters. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a) ("A party may serve and file objections to the
2	order within 14 days after being served with a copy [of the magistrate's order]. A party
3	may not assign as error a defect in the order not timely objected to."); Simpson v. Lear
4	Astronics Corp., 77 F.3d 1170, 1174 (9th Cir. 1996); Phillips v. GMC, 289 F.3d 1117,
5	1120-21 (9th Cir. 2002).
6	The court will accept the R&R and dismiss the Petition. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)
7	(stating that the district court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the
8	findings or recommendations made by the magistrate").
9	IT IS ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge
10	(Doc.12) is accepted.
11	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court enter judgment denying
12	and dismissing petitioner's Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed pursuant to
13	28 U.S.C. § 2254 (Doc. 5) with prejudice. The Clerk shall terminate this action.
14	A request for a certificate of appealability will be denied because dismissal of the
15	Amended Petition is justified by a plain procedural bar and jurists of reason would not find
16	the procedural ruling debatable.
17	Dated this 8th day of September, 2022.
18	A. Murray Swow
19	G. Murray Snow
20	Chief United States District Judge
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	